

USCA	DOCKET#	(IF	KNOWN)
		•	-

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING STATEMENT

PLEASE ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES IF NECESSAF	VI,				
TITLE IN FULL:	DISTRICT: Western	JUDGE: Benjamin H. Settle			
JOHN DOE #1; JOHN DOE #2; and PROTECT	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER: 3:09-cv-05456 BHS				
MARRIAGE WASHINGTON, Plaintiffs, v.	DATE NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: September 28, 2009	IS THIS A CROSS-APPEAL? ☐ YES ☑ NO			
SAM REED, Secretary of Sate, and BRENDA GALARZA, Public Records Officer, Defendants	IF THIS MATTER HAS BEEN BEFORE THIS COURT PREVIOUSLY, PLEASE PROVIDE THE DOCKET NUMBER AND CITATION (IF ANY):				
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF NATURE OF ACTION AND RESULT BELOW: See attached.					
PRINCIPAL ISSUES PROPOSED TO BE RAISED ON APPEAL:					
Did the District err in entering a preliminary judgment which prevents the Defendant public officers from complying with disclosure of referendum petitions, required to be disclosed as public records under Washington's Public Records Act (PRA), and in declaring the PRA unconstitutional as applied to disclosure of these records?					
PLEASE IDENTIFY ANY OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDING THAT MAY HAVE A BEARING ON THIS CASE (INCLUDE PENDING DISTRICT COURT POST-JUDGMENT MOTIONS): Defendants appealed the Preliminary Injunction on September 11, 2009. Intervenor Washington Coalition for Open Government appealed on September 15, 2009. The two appeals (Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Case Nos. 09-35818 and 09-35826) were consolidated by the Court.					
DOES THIS APPEAL INVOLVE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING:					
☐ Possibility of settlement					
☐ Likelihood that intervening precedent will control outcome of appeal					
☐ Likelihood of a motion to expedite or to stay the appeal, or other procedural matters (Specify)					
Any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the Mediation Program					
Possibility parties would stipulate to binding award by Appellate Commissioner in lieu of submission to judges					

LOWER COURT INFORMATION Page 2 of 2						
JURISDICTION		DISTRICT COURT DISPOSITION				
FEDERAL	APPELLATE	TYPE OF JUDGMENT/ORDER APPEALED	RELIEF			
FEDERAL QUESTION	FINAL DECISION OF DISTRICT COURT	☐ DEFAULT JUDGMENT ☐ DISMISSAL/JURISDICTION	DAMAGES: SOUGHT \$			
DIVERSITY OTHER (SPECIFY):	INTERLOCUTORY DECISION APPEALABLE AS OF RIGHT ☐ INTERLOCUTORY ORDER CERTIFIED BY DISTRICT JUDGE (SPECIFY): ☐ OTHER (SPECIFY):	□ DISMISSAL/MERITS □ SUMMARY JUDGMENT □ JUDGMENT/COURT DECISION □ JUDGMENT/JURY VERDICT □ DECLARATORY JUDGMENT □ JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW □ OTHER (SPECIFY): PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	AWARDED \$ INJUNCTIONS: PRELIMINARY PERMANENT GRANTED DENIED ATTORNEY FEES: SOUGHT \$ AWARDED \$ PENDING			
			COSTS: \$			
	CER	TIFICATION OF COUNSEL				
1 CERTIFY THAT: 1. COPIES OF ORDER/JUDGMENT APPEALED FROM ARE ATTACHED. 2. A CURRENT SERVICE LIST OR REPRESENTATION STATEMENT WITH TELEPHONE AND FAX NUMBERS IS ATTACHED (SEE 9th CIR. RULE 3-2). 3. A COPY OF THIS CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING STATEMENT WAS SERVED IN COMPLIANCE WITH FRAP 25. 4. I UNDERSTAND THAT FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THESE FILING REQUIREMENTS MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS, INCLUDING DISMISSAL OF THIS APPEAL. September 28, 2009 Date						
COUNSEL WHO COMPLETED THIS FORM						
NAME: Amanda J. Beane						
FIRM: Perkins Coie LLP						
ADDRESS: 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4800, Seattle, WA 98101						
E-MAIL: abeane@perkinscoie.com						
TELEPHONE: (206) 359-8000						
FAX: (206) 359-9000						
THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD BE FILED IN THE DISTRICT COURT WITH THE NOTICE OF APPEAL *IF FILED LATE, IT SHOULD BE FILED DIRECTLY WITH THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS*						

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE OF ACTION AND RESULT BELOW

Under the Washington State Constitution, a referendum may be ordered on a bill passed by the legislature, if a petition signed by a constitutionally specified percentage of legal voters is filed with the Secretary of State. When a petition containing the signatures of the requisite percentage of legal voters is filed, an election is held in which the voters decide whether to accept or reject the bill. The Washington Legislature enacted Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill (ESSB) 5688, which expanded the rights, responsibilities and obligations accorded state-registered, same-sex and senior domestic partners. Protect Marriage Washington filed Referendum 71 to challenge ESSB 5688. The referendum petition was signed by over 137,000 registered voters. Under Washington's Public Records Act, the Referendum 71 petitions are public records and may be made available for public inspection. Wash. Rev. Code § 42.56.070. Protect Marriage Washington and two John Doe plaintiffs filed this action to enjoin the Secretary of State from disclosing the names on the petitions under the Public Records Act. The plaintiffs claimed that disclosure would violate their rights of association under the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. The District Court granted plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction.